

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/658,530	09/10/2003	Koji Iwamitsu	500.43115X00	1958
24956 75	590 08/11/2005		EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER, MALUR & BRUNDIDGE, P.C.			MASKULINSKI, MICHAEL C	
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 370		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2113	
		•	DATE MAILED, 09/11/2004	-

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

K						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/658,530	IWAMITSU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael C. Maskulinski	2113				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-24,26-35 and 38-42</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25,36 and 37</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 March 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	innor. Note the attached emoc	7.000,101,101,111,100,102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Coo the attached actailed office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/10/03, 5/17/05, 3/1/25, 3/10/05,	· —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2113

Non-Final Office Action

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on March 4, 2005. The Examiner accepts these drawings.

Claim Objections

Claim 38 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 8 of claim
 "a display coupled said controller" should read "a display coupled to said controller".
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 21-24, 26-35, and 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagata et al., US 2001/0014956 A1.

Referring to claim 21:

a. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality of controllers controlling to transfer data to a plurality of storage regions.

Art Unit: 2113

b. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality of data lines coupled to said controllers and being used to transfer data from said controllers to said storage regions.

Page 3

- c. In paragraph 0101, Nagata et al. disclose that the system configuration may be displayed on the monitor of a control terminal, which controls the controller (a display coupled to said controllers and displaying information related to said storage system).
- d. In paragraph 0035, Nagata et al. disclose that if either of FC Loops has failed, another FC Loop can be used to continue communication (wherein a first controller of said controllers transfers data on a first data line of said plurality of data lines). Further, in paragraph 0015, Nagata et al. disclose that responsive to detecting a failure, at least one of the component units commands at least one the first and second bypass mechanisms to successively disconnect and reconnect each of the component units to the data communication loop to locate a cause of the failure (transfers a command on a second data line of said plurality of data lines, if said storage system has a failure after transferring data on said second data line, wherein said command is used to obtain an area of said failure).
- e. In paragraph 0101, Nagata et al. disclose that the failing unit may be displayed visually on the monitor (wherein said display displays said area of said failure).

Art Unit: 2113

Referring to claim 22, in paragraph 0094, Nagata et al. disclose a technique in which each controller searches for a PBC which brings the recovery of the communication by switching each PBC starting at the farthest PBC from the controller through the control line (said first controller transfers write data on said first data line and controls to divide said second data line into a plurality of parts and transfers said command to one part of said parts of said second data line). In paragraph 0101, Nagata et al. disclose that the failing unit may be displayed visually on the monitor (said display displays said area of said failure after second data line is divided).

Referring to claim 23:

- a. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a first housing having a one or more first disk drive units of said plurality of disk drives and a first part of said second line.
- b. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a second housing having a one or more second disk drive units of said plurality of disk drive units and a second part of said second line.
- c. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose one or more controllers coupled to said first data line and said second data line.
- d. In paragraph 0035, Nagata et al. disclose that if either of FC Loops has failed, another FC Loop can be used to continue communication (wherein said first controller transfers write data on said first data line). Further, in paragraph 0015, Nagata et al. disclose that responsive to detecting a failure, at least one of the component units commands at least one the first and second bypass

Art Unit: 2113

mechanisms to successively disconnect and re-connect each of the component units to the data communication loop to locate a cause of the failure (controls to disconnect said second part of said second data line from said first part of said second data line and transfer said command to said first part of said second data line).

e. In paragraph 0101, Nagata et al. disclose that the failing unit may be displayed visually on the monitor (said display displays said area of said failure after said second part of said second data line is disconnected).

Referring to claim 24, in paragraph 0035, Nagata et al. disclose that if either of FC Loops has failed, another FC Loop can be used to continue communication (said first data line is used to read/write data to one or more said disk drive units, if said area of said failure is on said second data line, and said second data line is used to read/write data to said one or more said disk drive units, if said area of said failure is not on said second data line).

Referring to claim 26, in paragraph 0053, Nagata et al. disclose that the controller as an initiator of the SCSI-FCP can control the ON/OFF state of the bypass controlling signal to each drive as the target of the SCSI-FCP by issuing Send Diagnostic Command (said command travels around on said second data line).

Referring to claim 27, in paragraph 0055, Nagata et al. disclose that the controller can know whether the bypass circuit in the PBC is active or not by issuing the Receive Diagnostic Result command (said command is relayed by one or more of said

Art Unit: 2113

disk drive units coupled to said second data line, if said second data line does not have said failure).

Referring to claim 28, in paragraph 0053, Nagata et al. disclose that the controller as an initiator of the SCSI-FCP can control the ON/OFF state of the bypass controlling signal to each drive as the target of the SCSI-FCP by issuing Send Diagnostic Command (said command is used to initialize said second data line).

Referring to claims 29 and 30, in paragraph 0018, Nagata et al. disclose a storage system having multiple drives and controllers that are connected with a communication loop topology such as FC-AL. Further, the Loop Initialization Primitive (LIP) command is an inherent feature of the FC-AL.

Referring to claim 31, in paragraph 0070, Nagata et al. disclose determining that the FC Loop is failing (said area of said failure is located between said second data line and one of said plurality of disk drive units).

Referring to claim 32, in paragraph 0069, Nagata et al. disclose determining a failure in a disk drive (said area of said failure is in one of said plurality of disk drive units).

Referring to claim 33:

a. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a first housing having one or more first disk drive units of said plurality of disk drives and a first part of said plurality of data lines.

Art Unit: 2113

b. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a second housing having a one or more second disk drive units of said plurality of disk drive units and a second part of said plurality of data lines.

c. In paragraph 0069, Nagata et al. disclose determining a failure in a disk drive (wherein said area of said failure is in one of said first housing and said second housing).

Referring to claim 34:

- a. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose said first data line is coupled to second controller of said plurality of controllers.
- b. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose said data line is coupled to said first controller of said plurality of controllers.
- c. In paragraph 0053, Nagata et al. disclose that the controller as an initiator of the SCSI-FCP can control the ON/OFF state of the bypass controlling signal to each drive as the target of the SCSI-FCP by issuing Send Diagnostic Command (said first controller controls sending of said command to said second data line).

Referring to claim 35, in Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose that said plurality of disk drive units are coupled to said first data line and said second data line.

Referring to claim 38:

a. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose one or more controllers controlling to transfer data to a plurality of storage regions.

Art Unit: 2113

b. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality of data lines coupled to said controllers and being used to transfer data from said controllers to said storage regions.

Page 8

- c. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality of disk drives coupled to said data lines and having said storage regions.
- d. In paragraph 0101, Nagata et al. disclose that the system configuration may be displayed on the monitor of a control terminal, which controls the controller (a display coupled to said controller and displaying information related to said storage system).
- e. In paragraph 0053, Nagata et al. disclose that the controller as an initiator of the SCSI-FCP can control the ON/OFF state of the bypass controlling signal to each drive as the target of the SCSI-FCP by issuing Send Diagnostic Command (wherein a first controller of said controllers relays data on a first data line of said plurality of data lines and relays a command on a second data line of said plurality of data lines, if said storage system has a failure after relaying data on said second data line).
- f. In paragraph 0053, Nagata et al. disclose that the controller as an initiator of the SCSI-FCP can control the ON/OFF state of the bypass controlling signal to each drive as the target of the SCSI-FCP by issuing Send Diagnostic Command (wherein said command is used to initialize said second data line).

Art Unit: 2113

g. In paragraph 0101, Nagata et al. disclose that the failing unit may be displayed visually on the monitor (wherein said display displays an area of said failure).

Referring to claim 39:

- a. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose one or more controllers controlling to transfer data to a plurality of storage regions.
- b. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality of data lines coupled to said controllers and being used to transfer data from said controllers to said storage regions.
- c. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality of disk drive units coupled to said data lines and having said storage regions.
- d. In paragraph 0101, Nagata et al. disclose that the system configuration may be displayed on the monitor of a control terminal, which controls the controller (a display coupled to said controller and displaying information related to said storage system).
- e. In paragraph 0035, Nagata et al. disclose that if either of FC Loops has failed, another FC Loop can be used to continue communication (wherein a first controller of said controllers relays data on a first data line of said plurality of data lines). Further, in paragraph 0015, Nagata et al. disclose that responsive to detecting a failure, at least one of the component units commands at least one the first and second bypass mechanisms to successively disconnect and reconnect each of the component units to the data communication loop to locate a

Art Unit: 2113

cause of the failure relays a command on a second data line of said plurality of data lines, if said storage system has a failure after relaying data on said second data line, wherein said command is used to initialize said second data line).

f. In paragraph 0101, Nagata et al. disclose that the failing unit may be displayed visually on the monitor (wherein said display displays said area of said failure).

Referring to claim 40:

- a. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality of controllers controlling to transfer data to a plurality of storage regions.
- b. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality of data lines coupled to said controllers and being used to transfer data from said controllers to said storage regions.
- c. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality of disk drive units coupled to said data lines and having said storage regions.
- d. In paragraph 0035, Nagata et al. disclose that if either of FC Loops has failed, another FC Loop can be used to continue communication (wherein a first controller of said controllers transfers data on a first data line of said plurality of data lines). Further, in paragraph 0015, Nagata et al. disclose that responsive to detecting a failure, at least one of the component units commands at least one the first and second bypass mechanisms to successively disconnect and reconnect each of the component units to the data communication loop to locate a cause of the failure (transfers a command on a second data line of said plurality

of data lines, if said storage system has a failure after transferring data on said second data line, wherein said command is used to obtain an area of said failure).

Referring to claim 41:

- a. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality controllers controlling to transfer data to a plurality of storage regions.
- b. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality of data lines coupled to said controllers and being used to transfer data from said controllers to said storage regions.
- c. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality of disk drive units coupled to said data lines and having said storage regions.
- d. In paragraph 0101, Nagata et al. disclose that the system configuration may be displayed on the monitor of a control terminal, which controls the controller (a display coupled to said controller and displaying information related to said storage system).
- e. In paragraph 0035, Nagata et al. disclose that if either of FC Loops has failed, another FC Loop can be used to continue communication (wherein data are relayed by a first controller of said controllers on a first data line of said plurality of data lines). Further, in paragraph 0015, Nagata et al. disclose that responsive to detecting a failure, at least one of the component units commands at least one the first and second bypass mechanisms to successively disconnect and re-connect each of the component units to the data communication loop to

Art Unit: 2113

locate a cause of the failure (a command is relayed by the first controller on a second data line of said plurality of data lines, if said storage system has a failure after relaying data on said second data line, wherein said command is used to obtain an area of said failure).

f. In paragraph 0101, Nagata et al. disclose that the failing unit may be displayed visually on the monitor (wherein said display displays said area of said failure).

Referring to claim 42:

- a. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose one or more controllers controlling to transfer data to a plurality of storage regions.
- b. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality of data lines coupled to said controllers and being used to transfer data from said controllers to said storage regions.
- c. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose a plurality of disk drive units coupled to said data lines and having said storage regions.
- d. In paragraph 0101, Nagata et al. disclose that the system configuration may be displayed on the monitor of a control terminal, which controls the controller (a display coupled to said controller and displaying information related to said storage system).
- e. In Figure 1, Nagata et al. disclose transferring data on a first data line of said plurality of data lines coupled to a first controller of said controllers.

Art Unit: 2113

f. In paragraph 0070, Nagata et al. teach having a failure between said first data line and one of said disk drives after said transferring data on said first data line).

- g. In paragraph 0035, Nagata et al. disclose that if either of FC Loops has failed, another FC Loop can be used to continue communication (transferring data on a second data line of said plurality of data lines by said first controller after having said failure). Further, in paragraph 0015, Nagata et al. disclose that responsive to detecting a failure, at least one of the component units commands at least one the first and second bypass mechanisms to successively disconnect and re-connect each of the component units to the data communication loop to locate a cause of the failure (transferring a command on said first data line by said first controller, said command being used to obtain a position of said failure).
- h. In paragraph 0101, Nagata et al. disclose that the failing unit may be displayed visually on the monitor (displaying said position of said failure on said display).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 25, 36, 37, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Page 14

Application/Control Number: 10/658,530

Art Unit: 2113

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited prior art is related to different means of isolating failures and handling failures in a storage system with redundant loops.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Maskulinski whose telephone number is (571) 272-3649. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert W. Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MM

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

